

Extrapolation procedure for low-temperature series for the square lattice spin-1 Ising model

I Jensen [†] and A J Guttmann [‡]

Department of Mathematics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia.

Abstract. The finite-lattice method of series expansions has been combined with a new extrapolation procedure to extend the low-temperature series for the specific heat, spontaneous magnetisation and susceptibility of the spin-1 Ising model on the square lattice. The extended series were derived by directly calculating the series to order 99 (in the variable $u = \exp[-J/k_B T]$) and using the new extrapolation procedure to calculate an additional 13–14 terms.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 64.60.Fr, 02.70.-c

Short title: Extrapolation of spin-1 Ising series

February 1, 2008

[†] E-mail address: iwan@maths.mu.oz.au

[‡] E-mail address: tonyg@maths.mu.oz.au

1. Introduction

In a recent paper [1] we reported on the calculation and analysis of low-temperature series for the square lattice spin-1 Ising model. The series was derived to 79th order (in the variable $u = \exp[-J/k_B T]$) using the finite-lattice method [2] and employed a new algorithm which removed much of the memory-size restrictions of previous implementations. In this paper we report on a further extension of these series to order 113 for the specific heat and spontaneous magnetisation and order 112 for the susceptibility. The extension is obtained by direct calculation of the series to order 99 and use of a new extrapolation procedure to extend the series by an additional 13 or 14 terms. The improvement in the direct series derivation is due to a more efficient implementation of the algorithm and the use of parallel computation. The extrapolation procedure is similar to and inspired by work on directed percolation [3].

2. The series expansion technique

The Hamiltonian defining the spin-1 Ising model in a magnetic field h can be written:

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (1 - \sigma_i \sigma_j) + h \sum_i (1 - \sigma_i) \quad (1)$$

where the spin variable $\sigma_i = 0, \pm 1$. The first sum is over nearest neighbour pairs and the second sum is over sites. The constants are chosen so the ground state ($\sigma_i = +1 \forall i$) has zero energy. The low temperature expansion is based on perturbations from the fully aligned ground state. The expansion is expressed in terms of the temperature variable $u = \exp(-\beta J)$ and the field variable $\mu = \exp(-\beta h)$, where $\beta = 1/k_B T$. The expansion of the partition function in powers of u may be expressed as

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n \Psi_n(\mu) \quad (2)$$

where $\Psi_n(\mu)$ are polynomials in μ . It is more convenient to express the field dependence in terms of the variable $x = 1 - \mu$ and truncate the expansion at x^2

$$Z = Z_0(u) + x Z_1(u) + x^2 Z_2(u) + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where $Z_n(u)$ is a series in u formed by collecting all terms in the expansion of Z containing factors of x^n . Standard definitions yield the spontaneous magnetisation

$$M(u) = M(0) + \frac{1}{\beta} \left. \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial h} \right|_{h=0} = 1 + Z_1(u)/Z_0(u), \quad (4)$$

since $x = 0$ in zero field. For the zero-field susceptibility we find

$$\chi(u) = \left. \frac{\partial M}{\partial h} \right|_{h=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \left(\frac{1}{\beta Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial h} \right) \Big|_{h=0} = \beta \left[2 \frac{Z_2(u)}{Z_0(u)} - \frac{Z_1(u)}{Z_0(u)} - \left(\frac{Z_1(u)}{Z_0(u)} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

The specific heat series is derived from the zero field partition function (via the internal energy $U = -(\partial/\partial\beta) \ln Z_0$),

$$C_v(u) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} = \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \ln Z_0 = (\beta J)^2 \left(u \frac{d}{du} \right)^2 \ln Z_0(u). \quad (6)$$

So in order to obtain the series expansion of the specific heat, spontaneous magnetisation and susceptibility it suffices to calculate the three quantities Z_0 , Z_1 and Z_2 .

On the square lattice the infinite lattice partition function Z can be approximated by a product of partition functions Z_{mn} on *finite* ($m \times n$) lattices,

$$Z(u) \approx \prod_{m,n} Z_{mn}(u)^{a_{mn}} \quad \text{with } m \leq n \text{ and } m+n \leq r, \quad (7)$$

where r is a cut-off which limits the size of the rectangles considered. The weights a_{mn} are small integers and are known explicitly [4] for the square lattice,

$$a_{mn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m+n = r \\ -3 & \text{if } m+n = r-1 \\ 3 & \text{if } m+n = r-2 \\ -1 & \text{if } m+n = r-3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Due to the symmetry of the square lattice one obviously has that $Z_{mn} = Z_{nm}$ so one need only consider the case $m \leq n$ and change the weights a_{mn} appropriately, i.e., multiply by 2 if $m < n$.

For the low-temperature expansion of the Ising model Z_{mn} is calculated as the sum over all spin configurations on the finite lattice. All spins outside the $m \times n$ range are fixed at +1. The number of terms derived correctly with the finite lattice method is given by the power of the lowest-order connected graph not contained in any of the rectangles considered, which in this case are chains of sites all in the '0' state. From the Ising Hamiltonian we see that such chains give rise to terms of order $3r+1$. For a given value of r the series expansion is thus correct to order $3r$.

The efficient way of calculating Z_{mn} is by transfer matrix techniques. We refer to [1] for a detailed description of the algorithm. For this work we used a more efficient implementation of the algorithm and a parallel computer and were able to derive the series directly up to a maximal cut-off $r_m = 33$.

3. Extrapolation of series

The series can be extended significantly via an extrapolation method similar to that of [3]. Consider the series for $Z_n(u)$. For each $r \leq r_m$ we use the finite-lattice method

to calculate the polynomials $Z_{n,r}(u) = \sum_{j=0} z_{n,j,r} u^j$ correct to $\mathcal{O}(u^{3r+20})$. As already noted these polynomials agree with the series for Z_n to $\mathcal{O}(u^{3r})$. Next, we look at the sequences $d_{n,r,s}$ obtained from the difference between successive polynomials

Table 2. Coefficients $a_{1,s,j}$ and $b_{1,s,j}$ in the extrapolation formula (11) for Z_1 .

$a_{1,s,j}$													
$s \backslash j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	-24	-1008	-70772	-5084112	-457173792	-39103403040	-3574330130880	-348267261263680	-3610691980828400	-40732201722801032800	-462901722456736667200	-56000092350846168422400	-738483929500602052379648000
1	-10	242	26776	2132988	160186080	14031817536	1234927394784	115716008013024	11544174716755968	1224242942187036384	1377116899127732327260	16308030864515714443520	2000204208928428488812160
2	-13	161	3890	-11104	-10865200	-1228726780	-1241361954720	-123088249527432	-1273546809272728	-1365567270570565328	-15286179110821861184	-1823236122928804380864	-227312813910328067927168
3	-5	-76	-2692	-48937	-1049540	-10973728	-1890472048	101728365836	4075032105880	5749911364575360	7580826052388000	94557570717841349328	122495210390212031300608
4	-2	7	322	12959	92038	23570715	1145291164	57640415610	2759357304184	901000102912925	-4304573064785568	-1641298736512506072	-20168915400211705608
5	2	-11	-393	-35951	-1676878	-48418027	-5116550137	-345077155966	-201594107112925	-1842910406735760	-73711061386002006	-4545918943932129132	-305424504232080822640
6		-2	17	1611	11790	2837282	122243041	15355911235	1081171840193	80200154284766	615573550915480	475590616882893268	249341575792467155320
7		2	-25	-2852	-121852	-7085208	-490130073	-36372045428	-2855091123345	-23616037252269	-20120710182864372	-1810694107681320020	54402254827020427
8			-2	35	4596	180960	1106928	891556753	70510462976	624567102839	572875721359130	464025475680011	3208966177416908
9				2	-47	-9017	-240252	-14817384	-1460017005	-102977895083	-12395475680011	-1208966177416908	21808300064180
10					61	9893	286376	16521220	2223292547	22272548753	22272548753	21808300064180	-352460464180
11					2	-77	-12556	-300155	-13327061	-8238529375	-352460464180	-352460464180	-49304
12						95	17992	257333	120371	120371	120371	6837002786	25420356
13						-115	-23241	-127937	-23241	-127937	-23241	-23241	-23241
14							137	29347	137	29347	137	29347	-29347
15							2	-161	2	-161	2	-161	-161
16								-2					187
17													2
$b_{1,s,j}$													
$s \backslash j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	0	4	38	669	14274	382185	11367045	37466855	1341642490	52193131220	21650041038975	9940420148211075	49232090206458975
1	4	8	28	294	2154	45280	659431	6912974	62875241	-9510050301	-577028937084	-30029467750420	-150834993491021
2	-4	-10	92	245	6049	130535	2052016	7018155	244225632	87082829703	3603111165211	15303546464629	6673070070555
3	16	-48	1788	325744	27280764	2042841660	148133624112	10422978961984	671732365773200	3101449941745392	-1105330016217243648	-683352705387601823616	-155665991250642317339904
4	2	62	2056	49792	547680	-62926964	-9069431144	-924884356236	-8705254757280	-7980855749069280	-715388251788131360	-60821071543388792752	-4414115880872814761376
5	2	-4	-286	-11426	-409240	-14714408	-4666839676	-4246670624	1552859277500	259271668545748	32421044232235536	373089575841105000	413972960682773319120
6	-2	8	754	32534	1427150	66602412	3204308732	144733941316	4584284710372	-175506172385408	-44644755919141120	-1066286007235875800	1972409671354252
7		2	-14	-1508	-67700	-3405654	-188754426	-11056264376	-545356019664	-368883161160012	-1658325705468872	-4593416253250	-365367972622928
8			-2	22	2784	116304	6427638	414871086	28439294862	1943327763680	13509426087632	8967575039208340	8967575039208340
9				2	-82	-4512	-174028	-10163570	-774000120	-58082092862	-4593416253250	-365367972622928	9324373410550
10					2	-2	44	6816	282224	1367490	1290331376	109505894322	9324373410550
11						2	-58	-9764	-277408	-15108942	-1933340778	-186906331802	-186906331802
12							-2	74	13418	290880	1193932	294284678	141926
13								2	-92	-17834	-248470	141926	141926
14									-2	112	23062	120410	120410
15										2	-134	-29146	-29146
16											-2	158	158
17												2	2
$b_{1,s,j}$													
$s \backslash j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	0	0	-40	-1848	-72579	-2888655	-118290915	-5121342705	-23501025040	-11456914728105	-592786074379275	-32513880609579600	-18870196741800154987
1	4	0	-50	-1176	-26112	-647905	-1645311	-425308222	-10281313150	-181320757591	2606202716040	62223124866630	56560097077675104
2	-4	-12	-40	-344	-3513	-44360	-48645	-878527	105956879	906828993	-302888778255	-3500238609941	-2973797688464465
3	4	14	-28	-36	-3009	-8565	-1339020	-70112132	-2283937563	-61537586981	-141704503681	-141704503681	14046475617195
4		-4	-10	325	2170	2924	786029	5619955	250213920	8870308461	18236326816	5892713122080	5892713122080
5			4	0	-945	-505	-5841	-1080203	34632651	705800175	1850033234	71323287845	71323287845
6				-4	16	1955	4147	-292766	-1058909	-217483272	-5310678979	-1036978067	-1036978067
7					4	-38	-3995	9954	1310900	5720061	539675633	13147234615	13147234615
8						-4	66	5278	-52674	-343039	-343039	-464689558	-464689558
9							4	-100	-7590	146385	8459448	-61062553	-61062553
10								-4	140	10200	-321145	-16469070	-16469070
11									4	-186	-13310	615230	615230
12										238	16555	16555	16555
13										4	-296	-296	-296
14											-4	-4	-4

Table 3. Coefficients $a_{2,s,j}$ and $b_{2,s,j}$ in the extrapolation formula (11) for Z_2 .

$a_{2,s,j}$													
$s \backslash j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	0	960	19908	2585964	3105873216	36698163840	43962925841280	5412046450270880	689859653042488320	91351029237486105600	12589478624473041715200	180673059258603511649280	269979752594746952788800
1	12	724	3872	-3918624	-664081632	-88392730560	-11084244867072	-1384094987443488	-176331193274687232	-23140865178023786496	-314671513732668707840	-4445302454504023494280	-6531081828276452838817120
2	-20	-444	-34224	-1984608	-100703480	-3423052408	152494743744	58829587491792	10515670803566400	1629900454944139200	243735746832642107520	36506387580624231020736	556522958405055654128640
3	16	-48	1788	325744	27280764	2042841660	148133624112	10422978961984	671732365773200	3101449941745392	-1105330016217243648	-683352705387601823616	-155665991250642317339904
4	2	62	2056	49792	547680	-62926964	-9069431144	-924884356236	-8705254757280	-7980855749069280	-715388251788131360	-60821071543388792752	-4414115880872814761376
5	2	-4	-286	-11426	-409240	-14714408	-4666839676	-4246670624	1552859277500	259271668545748	32421044232235536	373089575841105000	41397296682773319120
6	-2	8	754	32534	1427150	66602412	3204308732	144733941316	4584284710372	-173506172385408	-4464475919141120	-1066286007235879308	
7		2	-14	-1508	-67700	-3405654	-188754426	-11056264376	-545356019664	-368883161160012	-1658325705468872	1972409671354252	
8			-2	22	2784	116304	6427638	414871086	28439294862	1943327763680	13509426087632	8967575039208340	
9				2	-82	-4512	-174028	-10163570	-774000120	-58082092862	-4593416253250	-365367972622928	
10					2	-2	44	6816	282224	1367490	1290331376	109505894322	
11						2	-58	-9764	-277408	-15108942	-1933340778	-186906331802	
12							-2	74	13418	290880	1193932	294284678	
13								2	-92	-17834	-248470	141926	
14									-2	112	23062	120410	
15										2	-134	-29146	
16											-2	158	
17												2	
$b_{2,s,j}$													
$s \backslash j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0	0	0	-40	-1848	-72579	-2888655	-118290915	-5121342705	-23501025040	-11456914728105	-592786074379275	-32513880609579600	-18870196741800154987
1	4	0	-50	-1176	-26112	-647905	-1645311	-425308222	-10281313150	-181320757591	2606292716040	62232124866630	56560097077675104
2	-4	-12	-40	-344	-3513	-44360	-48645	-878527	105956879	906828993	-302888778255	-3500238609941	-2973797688464465
3	4	14	-28	-36	-3009	-8565	-1339020	-70112132	-2283097563	-61367586981	-14176450568167	14464475617195	
4	-4	-1	-10	325	2170	292412	73019	887038841	887038841	887038841	182362312080	589271321080	
5			4	0	-945	-30524	-5841	-1080203	34632655	705899175	1850983234	713235878415	
6				-1	1955	4147	-292766	-1058099	-217483272	-5310678929	-1056978067	-1056978067	
7					4	-38	-3395	9954	13109094	5720961	539675633	13147236415	
8						-44	66	5278	-52674	-343839	-464089538	-61062655	
9							4	-7590	146385	8459448	-16469070	615230	
10								-4	10290	-321145	-138310	16555	
11									4	-186	23320	4	
12										-4	-183	-296	
13													
14													

It is clear from equation (11) that the $r_m - s - 2$ terms available from the various sequences for the correction terms are not sufficient to determine all the $2(s+n+2)+1$ unknown coefficients in the extrapolation formulae for large s . However, from tables 1–3 we immediately see that the leading coefficients, $a_{n,s,s+n+3}$ and $b_{n,s,s+n}$, in the extrapolation formulae are alternating in sign but otherwise constant, e.g., $a_{n,s,s+n+3} = (-1)^{s+n}2$ and $b_{n,s,s+n} = -(-1)^{s+n}4$. In general we have found that the leading coefficients are expressible as polynomials in s ,

$$a_{n,s,s+n+3-k} = \frac{(-1)^{s+n}}{(2s)!} \sum_{j=0}^{2k+l} \alpha_{n,k,j} s^j, \quad (12)$$

and

$$b_{n,s,s+n-k} = \frac{(-1)^{s+n}}{(s+1)!4^{s-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \beta_{n,k,j} s^j, \quad (13)$$

where $l = \max(k-3, 0)$. The coefficients of these polynomials are listed in table 4 and table 5.

This time we note that the 2 or 3 leading coefficients are independent of n . And indeed we find that $\beta_{n,k,2k-j}/3^k$ is a polynomial in k of order $2j+1$. In particular we have,

$$\beta_{n,k,2k} = -3^k(k+1),$$

$$\beta_{n,k,2k-1} = 3^k(278k^3 + 99k^2 - 179k)/27,$$

$$\beta_{n,k,2k-2} = \begin{cases} 3^k(77284k^5 - 233636k^4 + 145247k^3 + 233636k^2 - 222531k)/1458 & n = 0 \\ 3^k(77284k^5 - 233636k^4 + 148487k^3 + 233636k^2 - 225771k)/1458 & n = 1 \\ 3^k(77284k^5 - 233636k^4 + 151727k^3 + 231692k^2 - 230955k)/1458 & n = 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_{n,k,2k-3} = \begin{cases} 3^k(107424760k^7 - 981604100k^6 + 3689847622k^5 - 5987330165k^4 \\ \quad + 1103673490k^3 + 6968934265k^2 - 4900945872k)/590490 & n = 0 \\ 3^k(107424760k^7 - 981604100k^6 + 3703358422k^5 - 6035726045k^4 \\ \quad + 1135273210k^3 + 7017330145k^2 - 4946056392k)/590490 & n = 1 \\ 3^k(107424760k^7 - 981604100k^6 + 3716869222k^5 - 6092228405k^4 \\ \quad + 1180199050k^3 + 7073832505k^2 - 5004493032k)/590490 & n = 2. \end{cases}$$

So when calculating the extrapolation formulae (11) we first used the sequences for the correction terms to predict as many polynomials as possible. When we ran out of terms we then predicted as many of the leading coefficients from (12) and (13) as possible. This in turn allowed us to find more extrapolation formulae, which we could use (together with the formulae for $\beta_{n,k,2k-j}$) to find more of the formulas for the leading

Table 4. The coefficients $\alpha_{n,k,j}$ in the extrapolation formulae (12).

k/j	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\alpha_{0,k,j}$						
0	2	12	96	25920	0	0
1		2	-1468	510840	1300549152	6977845103040
2		2	-558	-888576	-2703028424	-15961597601040
3			-428	600150	1803808536	12990760770024
4			6	38850	-409368974	-4669644914724
5				-7950	-18927216	667412568090
6				126	5412484	519181770
7					-224616	-5708659068
8					6354	428756328
9					-96	-15678630
10						484770
11						-10656
12						96
$\alpha_{1,k,j}$						
0	2	10	312	7200	967680	0
1		2	-1192	566700	1554817152	8201223021600
2		2	-522	-1082526	-3148467656	-18452430302760
3			-428	592890	2028534576	14630011358544
4			6	39480	-411219494	-5057308922574
5				-7950	-20088936	678397444650
6				126	5432476	1825419990
7					-224616	-5791418388
8					6354	430798878
9					-96	-15704550
10						484770
11						-10656
12						96
$\alpha_{2,k,j}$						
0	2	4	384	-14400	483840	0
1		2	-940	679560	1809266592	9448276380480
2		2	-510	-1261176	-3582329672	-20938915839600
3			-428	598470	2221265016	16212179478024
4			6	39930	-415205294	-5412395679564
5				-7950	-20805456	691017125850
6				126	5445412	2648223930
7					-224616	-5853962268
8					6354	432269568
9					-96	-15721830
10						484770
11						-10656
12						96

coefficients $a_{n,s,s+n+3-k}$ and $b_{n,s,s+n-k}$. We repeat this until the process stopped with the extrapolation formulae listed above.

From $Z_{n,33}(u)$ we extended the series for Z_0 and Z_1 to $\mathcal{O}(u^{113})$, while the series for Z_2 was extended to $\mathcal{O}(u^{112})$. The resulting new low-temperature series terms are listed in table 6. The series terms for $n < 80$ can be found in [1]. The full series is also available by electronic mail or via the world wide web (see end of article for details).

Table 6. New low-temperature series terms for the square lattice spin-1 Ising magnetisation, $M(u)$, susceptibility, $\chi(u)$, and specific heat, $C_v(u)$.

n	$M(u)$	$\chi(u)$	$C_v(u)$
80	-54894921926791871723909	1287288269903730631946751	14980548594400026006446720
81	126297750080690225982572	-2932618501022211032818300	-35701518428258787100072584
82	-92489409777625802742528	2052947118516396940212072	27948358015093163176475128
83	-292140800078967381434028	7265393839008482331992336	80787294138478683214133578
84	1131329573810488998686811	-27533569403846138701663365	-328084218297769469375116200
85	-1662612103740713574604884	39920035526199174994626036	500413572618503616248896480
86	-492613608448080934983288	14931369412552677008749932	113883610899578636803467152
87	8211147564410929129324192	-209438337886232625955934292	-2436189367728965310422489418
88	-18878709288285563929234997	476343631011307763277303031	5796339184388631113650158304
89	13785042470417967980505100	-331962548955170787548255312	-4521670273968534171069307750
90	43860793960742590039383898	-1182970662366618284903971030	-13149275656695239669180483520
91	-169598161959998919874255236	4472491833451466220138614096	53268752093378620751877315482
92	249007028837325086293670283	-6471380268030636441237200097	-81105273455462683681912082728
93	74990743692109664334064752	-2453067840623244506560874448	-18811719845845853329570528542
94	-1234426063083531162682558560	34026585140776880977075866240	395621948197278485674431575608
95	2835867624597373150874747480	-77254798018355427358151075836	-939772638538221206856049448380
96	-2064573852622364936737424098	53613918339192473420875219089	730560439047179326970330734464
97	-6616142800429142888692342768	192358286613090067676905433984	2137403950614601807223987280454
98	25541973318840653657077177270	-725486237294161236962098624258	-8637803751685796115480314923276
99	-37462784919716975353690569292	1047757642093298413301695350332	13128860187862258100931770296284
100	-11463726370314369427304114523	402487643299242910269563033131	3102521140136519939724246308400
101	186381597774899493113385553664	-5520950047108379701377201734452	-64169713991043524782724303040306
102	-427804305737711686514509242390	12514441143336335641931499176014	152189962317529977423571879172796
103	310497065688338308368382361752	-8648192214593796849228047528228	-117900563896358034274507714039430
104	1002057377876891572217899261401	-31239827769954401229313918082438	-347042122337706823781434388021392
105	-3862092565977953294672165562608	117548646091803611498084800222172	1399138594951555677686779993658460
106	5658355584526190756409048411218	-169446914107751509953285066975278	-2122963342745826385809572352025484
107	1758697226344654211271247297588	-65942014127753928407511143000684	-510975035983101017637996662363534
108	-28247139203735773793168037850532	894839314320840238617134121410478	10397649426241825241936709101268192
109	64775215576079739888972057107032	-2025063464948777359185732816409016	-24621560654630485557870861813977290
110	-46866364052133130252606723536110	1393635387942882646442005194185966	19008526767153717074391591041349580
111	-152306338289478778693447758360664	5068325152947612595253210347568392	56293290314061858138013078241465190
112	586011194798187595036300267855234	-19027185558928704352441507167871531	-226417646512838638150966074051275808
113	-857572414389308013646509973160724		342972424908794790373332519783688916

4. Analysis of the series

We analysed the series using the same methods as in our previous paper [1] to which we refer the reader for details. Here we will give only a short summary of the results including improved estimates for the critical point and amplitudes.

The estimates $u_c = 0.5540663(5)$ for the physical singularity and $\beta = 0.12507(2)$ for the critical exponent of the spontaneous magnetisation were obtained from homogeneous differential approximants (which are equivalent to Dlog Padé approximants) by averaging over $[N, M]$ approximants with $|N - M| \leq 1$ using at least 100 series terms. The figure in parenthesis represents the spread among the approximants (basically one standard deviation) and should *not* be viewed as a measure of the true error as they cannot include possible systematic sources of error. From these estimates it is clear that $\beta = 1/8$ as expected. However, the estimates converge very slowly towards this value and even with a series as long as the present 114 terms the estimates have not yet settled down to their true value and there is a slight downwards drift in the estimates for both u_c and β . Analysis of the susceptibility and specific heat series yield exponent estimates fully in agreement with the expectations that $\gamma' = 7/4$ and $\alpha' = 0$. By using our knowledge of the exact values of the critical exponents and assuming that close to u_c the estimates for the exponents depend linearly on the estimates for the critical point (inspection of the various approximants clearly supports this assumption) we are led to the improved estimate for the critical point $u_c = 0.5540653(5)$.

We calculated the critical amplitudes using two different methods, both of which are very simple and easy to implement. In the first method, we note that if $f(u) \sim A(1 - u/u_c)^{-\lambda}$, then it follows that $(u_c - u)f^{1/\lambda}|_{u=u_c} \sim A^{1/\lambda}u_c$. So we simply form the series for $g(u) = (u_c - u)f^{1/\lambda}$ and evaluate Padé approximants to this series at u_c . The result is just $A^{1/\lambda}u_c$. This procedure works well for the magnetisation and susceptibility series (it obviously cannot be used to analyse the specific heat series) and yields the estimates $A_M = 1.20840(5)$ and $A_\chi = 0.06172(4)$ where the error bar primarily reflects the uncertainty due to the estimate of u_c . For the specific heat series two different approaches have been used. In the first approach we look at the derivative of the specific heat series for which the above method should work with $\lambda = 1$. This yields the estimate $A_C = 22.3(1)$. In the second approach we start from $f(u) \sim A \ln(1 - u/u_c)$ and form the series $g(u) = \exp(-f(u))$ which has a singularity at u_c with exponent A . One virtue of this approach is that no prior estimate of u_c is needed. However, the spread among estimates from different approximants is quite substantial, though the amplitude estimate is consistent with that listed above. Biasing the estimates at u_c yields $A_C = 22.3(3)$. In the second method, proposed by Liu and Fisher [6], one starts from $f(u) \sim A(u)(1 - u/u_c)^{-\lambda} + B(u)$ and then forms the auxiliary function $g(u) = (1 - u/u_c)^\lambda f(u) \sim A(u) + B(u)(1 - u/u_c)^\lambda$. Thus the required amplitude is now the *background* term in $g(u)$, which can be obtained from inhomogeneous differential approximants [5]. This method can also be used to study the specific heat series. One now starts from $f(u) \sim A(u) \ln(1 - u/u_c) + B(u)$ and then looks at the auxiliary function $g(u) = f(u)/\ln(1 - u/u_c)$. As before the amplitude can be obtained as the background term in $g(u)$. This analysis yields the amplitude estimates listed in table 7. With

the exception of the specific heat amplitude there is excellent agreement between these estimates and those obtained from the first method.

Table 7. Estimates for the physical critical amplitudes A_M , A_χ and A_C from the method of Liu and Fisher obtained from inhomogeneous first-order differential approximants. L is the degree of the inhomogeneous polynomial.

L	A_M	A_χ	A_C
5	1.208284(74)	0.061712(47)	20.20(26)
6	1.208270(15)	0.06173(12)	20.13(22)
7	1.208256(80)	0.06170(20)	20.23(23)
8	1.208266(16)	0.06164(21)	20.29(20)
9	1.20824(21)	0.06170(12)	20.28(32)
10	1.208269(35)	0.061866(60)	20.31(26)
15	1.208272(74)	0.06165(15)	20.47(32)
20	1.20824(12)	0.06167(15)	20.464(75)
25	1.208250(47)	0.06172(11)	20.448(46)
30	1.208236(51)	0.06174(20)	20.42(12)
35	1.208228(60)	0.061792(82)	20.40(20)
40	1.208263(70)	0.06159(20)	20.45(12)

Regarding the value of the confluent exponent Δ_1 we have little to add to our previous results. Even with a series as long as 114 terms we could not obtain accurate estimates for Δ_1 . Again the Baker-Hunter [7] transformed series of the magnetisation favours a value around 1.05 while estimates from the susceptibility series again fall in two groups around 1.15 and 1.4, respectively. Using the transformation of Adler *et al* [8]

$$G(u) = \lambda F(u) + (u_c - u)dF(u)/du,$$

where $F(u)$ is the original series and λ the leading critical exponent, yields estimates consistent with $\Delta_1 = 1$ for both the magnetisation and susceptibility.

We find a non-physical singularity closer to the origin than u_c at $u_\pm = -0.3019395(5) \pm 0.3787735(5)$ with exponents $\beta = -0.1690(2)$, $\gamma' = 1.1692(2)$ and $\alpha' = 1.1693(3)$, and a singularity on the negative u -axis at $u_- = -0.598550(5)$ with exponents equal to those at the physical critical point. Note that our estimate for β at $u_\pm$ has changed substantially from that given in our previous paper. This is mainly because we have put greater emphasis on estimates obtained from inhomogeneous first and second-order differential approximants. We note that we now have firm evidence to show that the scaling law $\alpha' + 2\beta + \gamma' = 2$ holds at both the physical as well as the non-physical singularities.

E-mail or www retrieval of series

The series for the spin-1 Ising model can be obtained via e-mail by sending a request to iwan@maths.mu.oz.au or via the world wide web on <http://www.maths.mu.oz.au/~iwan/> by following the instructions.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Australian Research Council is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Enting I G, Guttmann A J and Jensen I 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 6987
- [2] De Neef T and Enting I G 1977 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **10** 801
- [3] Baxter R J and Guttmann A J 1988 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** 3193
- [4] Enting I G 1978 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **11** 563
- [5] Guttmann A J 1989 *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena* vol 13, ed C Domb and J Lebowitz (New York:Academic) pp 1-234
- [6] Liu A J and Fisher M E 1989 *Physica* **156A** 35
- [7] Baker G A and Hunter D L 1973 *Phys. Rev. B* **7** 3377
- [8] Adler J, Moshe M and Privman V 1981 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **17** 2233